ATTACHMENT 1 CONFIDENTIAL - NOT FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION

REDACTED

ATTACHMENT 2 CONFIDENTIAL - NOT FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION

REDACTED

ATTACHMENT 3

2 Wire Voice Grade UNE Loop/Port Switched Combination (UNE-P) (Business, Residential and Line Side PBX)

2 Wire Voice Grade UNE Loop/Port Switched Combination

or
The Unbundled Network Element Platform (UNE-P)
for
(Business, Residential and Line Side PBX Service)

CLEC Information Package

October 30, 2001

(Version 7)



2 Wire Voice Grade UNE Loop/Port Switched Combination (UNE-P) (Business, Residential and Line Side PBX)

Switched Access Billing (Continued)

recordings, which are necessary to identify switched access data and bill Carriers, are available through the purchase of the ADUF file. Receipt of ADUF messages requires CLECs to purchase Network Data Mover (NDM) software (Connect Direct). Switch recordings detailing local usage, intraLATA toll usage and per use of vertical features are available through subscription to the Optional Daily Usage File (QDUF).

911 Updates and Surcharges

BellSouth shall make 911 updates in the BellSouth 911 database for the CLEC's UNE-P lines. BellSouth will not bill the CLEC for 911 surcharges. The CLEC is responsible for paying all 911 surcharges to the applicable governmental agency.

Pre-Ordering Checklist

Availability

The availability of this service and the manner in which the service is provided is dependent on the specific terms of the Local Interconnection Agreement between BellSouth and the Competitive Local Exchange Carrier (CLEC). Depending on this Agreement, the service may be available in all BellSouth states for conversions of BellSouth retail, resale or existing UNE port/loop switched combinations when the combination of unbundled network elements is currently combined and in service on BellSouth's network. Unless otherwise contracted by BellSouth, where the combination of unbundled network elements is not currently combined and in service on BellSouth's network. CLECs may combine UNEs themselves in their collocation space. In states that have ordered BeilSouth to provide not currently combined UNE switched combinations or new installations to be included in this offering, BellSouth will make those new installations available contingent upon the terms of the Parties' Agreement. BellSouth is also not required, unless otherwise contracted, to provide Unbundled Local Switching and therefore UNE portrioop combinations in density zone 1 of its top 8 MSAs if the end user has four or more DSO equivalent lines and if Bell South has provided nondiscriminatory, cost-based access to the enhanced extended link (EEL) throughout density zone 1. The top 8 MSAs include Orlando, Ft. Lauderdale, Miami, Atlanta, New Orleans, Greensboro-Winston Salem-Highpoint, Charlotte-Gastenia-Rock Hill and Nashville. A list of the BellSouth CLLI codes within Zone 1 of these Top 8 MSAs is provided within the Appendix of this document as Exhibit 1.

Restrictions

There are BallSouth services that are not applicable for conversion to or available with UNE port/loop switched combinations that if requested will result in the order being clarified back or returned to the CLEC. These include but may not be limited to the following:

7

- Back-up Lines
- Fax Overflow
- BellSouth ADSL
- WatchAlart®
- BellSouth Voice Mail (although certain MemoryCall® services are eligible for conversion)
- Uniserv and Zloconnect
- BellSouth Memorycall USOCs (other than those provided within the Appendix, Exhibit 3)

Billing Information

The CLEC must provide BellSouth with a Facility-based OCN and have requested a Facility based Q-account number through their Account team.

Ordering Information

The CLEC can issue orders either manually or electronically. Based on the interface used by the CLEC, it should follow the appropriate guide on the internet website based on its interface for instructions.

Ordering Process Description

- Local Carrier Service Center (LCSC) will receive and process LSRs for service order Issuance.
- LCSC and entry system will accept only complete and error free LSRs for order issuance.
- If a mechanized order entry system is available and the CLEC sends a manual order.
 BellSouth will bill the CLEC a manual charge associated with the additional cost that is incurred with the manual process. The charge will be billed in addition to all other non-recurring charges.

Note: Existing PIC/LPIC change request procedures will be followed.

Required/Optional Forms

- LSR (Required)
- EU (Required)
- Port Service Form (Required) requests for vertical services should appear in the Feature/Feature Datail section
- Port Service Addendum Form (Optional Local form used for manually ordering Selective Call Routing)

ATTACHMENT 4 CONFIDENTIAL - NOT FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION

REDACTED

ATTACHMENT 6 CONFIDENTIAL - NOT FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION

REDACTED

ATTACHMENT 7 CONFIDENTIAL - NOT FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION

REDACTED

ATTACHMENT 8



BellSouth Interconnection Services

675 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30375

Carrier Notification SN91081619

Date:

April 26, 2002

To:

Competitive Local Exchange Carriers (CLECs)

Subject:

CLECs - **REVISED**: Disposition of the Remaining Lines on a Partial Migration (originally posted December 22, 1999 and revised on March 21, 2000).

This is to clarify BellSouth's position in Item 2 of the original letter dated December 22, 1999. Item 2 stated that a Directory Listing (DL) request was required when the main line for a customer of one telecommunications carrier was migrating to another telecommunications carrier; the other lines were remaining with the original telecommunications carriers; and the listing was to change on the remaining lines.

Beginning May 1, 2000, when a LSR is received by the Local Carrier Service Center (LCSC) and the main line is migrating on a partial migration, certain information will be needed to assure consistency in the disposition of the remaining lines. What is needed and the defaults that will apply are:

- 1. The new main telephone number for the remaining account must be provided in the REMARKS section of the LSR. Failure to provide this will result in the LSR being returned to the CLEC for clarification.
- 2. On a partial migration, when the main line is migrating, a DL request must be provided for the new account. Any changes to the existing listing on the remaining account must be noted in the REMARKS section of the LSR. If no such changes are noted in REMARKS, the listing for the remaining account will be set up identically to the listing that the migrating number had.
- 3. The Hunt Sequence (if applicable) should be clearly communicated in the REMARKS section of the LSR. If no information is provided, the LCSC will attempt to set up the hunt sequence identically to the hunt sequence on the remaining account less the numbers migrated. If the LCSC is unable to determine what the new hunt sequence should be and the information has not been clearly communicated in the REMARKS section, the LSR will be returned to the CLEC for clarification.

Please contact your BellSouth Local Support Manager with any questions.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY JIM BRINKLEY

Jim Brinkley – Senior Director BellSouth Interconnection Services

ATTACHMENT 9



| MEETING NAME | MINUTES PREPARED BY: | DATE PREPARED |
|------------------------|--|---------------|
| Monthly Status Meeting | Cheryl Storey - Change Management Team | 6-28-02 |

BellSouth Participants

| PARTICIPANT | COMPANY |
|--------------------|----------------------|
| Valerie Cottingham | BST - CCP |
| Cheryl Storey | BST - CCP |
| Brenda Slonneger | BST - ELMS6 Proj Mgr |
| Steve Hancock | BST - CCP |
| Brenda Thomas | BST - Testing |
| Dennis Davis | BST - CCP |
| Phil Porter | BST - PMAP |
| Linda Jones | BST - CCP |
| Eric Paschal | BST - Testing |
| Gary Romanick | BST - Customer Care |
| | |

| PARTICIPANT | COMPANY |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| Doyle Mote | BST - LCSC Documentation |
| Ann Haymons | BST - LCSC Staff |
| Rodney Strawter | BST - LCSC Staff |
| Rose Kirkland | BellSouth Technology |
| ahkiah Wilson | BST - LCSC Staff |
| Kathy Rainwater | BST - CCP |
| Vickie Beachley | BST - Testing |
| Meena Masih | BST - Release Mgmt |
| Jill Williamson | BellSouth Technology |
| Audrey Thomas | BST - New Solutions Delivery |

CLEC/Other Participants

| COMPANY |
|----------------------|
| AT&T |
| Birch Telecom |
| KPMG |
| ITC/DeltaCom |
| ITC/DeltaCom |
| TelExcel Partners |
| Launch-Now-Accenture |
| Access Integrated |
| Network Telephone |
| Nightfire |
| NuVox |
| Covad |
| Talk America |
| |

| PARTICIPANT | COMPANY |
|------------------|--------------------|
| Nicole Drier | Birch |
| Tyra Hush | WorldCom |
| Jackie Jones | Ztel |
| Peggy Rubino | ZTel |
| Rick Whisamore | WorldCom |
| John Duffey | FL-PSC |
| Kyle Kopytchak | Network Telephone |
| Alan Flannigan | Time Warner |
| Heather Thompson | Allegience Telecom |
| Sherrian Lively | NuVox |
| Carl Lawson | dset |
| Jay Bradbury | AT&T |
| John Fury | NewSouth |



Meeting Information History

26.19.6.10.0

RT TIME ENG

06/26/02 11

11:00 AM ET 3:00

3:00 PM ET

MEETING PURPOSE / AGENDA

To discuss Monthly Status Meeting activities.



| Agenda Items | Discussion |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| 1. WELCOME/INTRODUCTIONS | Steve Hancock (BST Change Management Team) welcomed all participants and reviewed the agenda. |
| 2. REVIEW OF OUTSTANDING ACTION ITEMS | Refer to the Action Item Log that is attached to the minutes for the latest status of all items. |
| | Main topics of discussion included: |
| | AI - 3 Provide the defect management process for CAVE (OPEN) |
| | Eric Paschal (BST) reported that the defect management process for CAVE is addressed in the draft Testing Practices & Procedures (TPP) document. Bernadette Seigler (AT&T) commented that there was potential overlap for naming severity levels. Steve advised that the severity levels would be applied to the production environment. CLECs requested that this Action Item remain open until the results of ballot #12 are distributed later this week. |
| | AI - 19 Investigate developing a process to address identifying those defects that require coding changes (OPEN) |
| | The CCP document was updated on 5-1-02 (Section 5.0-Defects) to reflect that in the event correction of the defect may potentially cause the CLECs to perform coding or business rule changes; BST will provide notification and appropriate documentation with the release notification. Also added as an output to Step 6: Documentation of potential CLEC coding/process changes, CLECs requested that a reference be made on the Action Item Log to reflect the ballot # that this language was included in and approved. See New Action Items. |
| | AI – 41 Investigate if one test agreement can include a set of validation test cases should a new TAG API be implemented on the release date (OPEN) |
| | Eric stated that this information is addressed in the draft TPP document. Bernadette questioned what "baselined" meant. Eric replied that Version 1.0 of the TPP document will be the baselined version and if the CLECs concur with the document, BST will proceed with implementation. Tyra Hush (WorldCom) questioned if pre-order test cases are provided when testing a new TAG API. Eric replied that pre-order test cases would be included with the new test case catalog. Currently, pre-order test cases are not provided for a new TAG API. CLECs requested that this Action Item remain open until the TPP document is finalized. |



| Agenda Items | Discussion |
|--------------|---|
| | AI-42 Investigate providing its internal process of testing a Release before it is loaded into CAVE (OPEN) |
| | Eric stated that the draft TPP document reflects the steps BST takes associated with testing a release before it is loaded into CAVE. CLECs requested that this Action Item remain open until the TPP document is finalized. |
| | AI-44 Sub-committee to better define how the "Blanket Letter of Agency" will be handled with regard to CR0184 and CR0246 (CLOSED) |
| | CLECs requested that the 5-22-02 ranking of CR0184/CR0246 be added to the Action Item Log and then it could be closed. |
| | AI-70 Propose language for Section 6.0 of the CCP document & Appendix to incorporate addressing technical issues as a standing agenda item for the monthly meetings (CLOSED) |
| | Valerie Cottingham (BST Change Management Team) stated that it is currently reflected in the CCP process that quarterly meetings will be held to address technical issues. This was balloted and approved by the CLEC community earlier in the year. New language is being proposed for the CCP document based on CLECs request to reflect that technical issues will be a standing agenda item for the monthly meetings. It was agreed to proceed with balloting this issue. |
| | |



| Agenda Items | Discussion |
|--------------|---|
| Agenda (tems | AI-72 Investigate adding PMAP to the list of legacy systems within the scope |
| | of CCP (OPEN) |
| | Phil Porter (BST) stated that PMAP is a measurement system developed by BST to provide performance measures in compliance with various State PSC orders. PMAP is not a legacy system used by the CLECs for the establishment and/or maintenance of services and therefore should not be added to the list of legacy systems within the scope of CCP. |
| | The format and content of PMAP is controlled by the parameters of each PSC in each state after receiving and considering comments/suggestions from the CLEC community. These orders also provide a timeline for implementation based on the state order. |
| | Phil also stated that BellSouth has a process for CLECs to provide input and suggestions for improving PMAP. Bernadette questioned if changes to PMAP were made for all CLECs. Phil replied that a spreadsheet is posted monthly in the Current Site Update section on the homepage of the PMAP website that lists all the changes and includes a raw data users document. |
| | Bernadette stated that the Line Loss reports are critical information to the CLECs. PMAP also includes operational reports, which is a key issue for CLECs; therefore it should be included in the scope of CCP. Mary Conquest (ITC Deltacom) and Tyra Hush agreed. Shamone Stapler (ITC Deltacom) mentioned that there is no raw data outage notification. Mary commented that PMAP system outages are not included in the process. Phil advised that PMAP has regular scheduled downtimes that are posted. PMAP is also down on the 20th of the month to load reports. This is a planned outage and is posted on the PMAP website. Jay Bradbury (AT&T) stated that PMAP availability needs to be part of CCP because it is operational. |
| | There are two sub items of PMAP: |
| | Regulatory report dataOperational issues |
| | Bernadette commented that the operational issues include more than just Line Loss reports. Phil stated that it would also include the PON status report, COSMOS, etc. Although BellSouth contends that the regulatory report data should not be within CCP, Phil agreed to investigate if the operational reports in PMAP should be included within the scope of CCP. See New Action Items. |



| Agenda Items | Discussion |
|--------------|---|
| | AI-77 Investigate utilizing the format of Appendix I for providing estimated release capacity, including forecast for Type 6's (OPEN) |
| | Dennis Davis (BST) requested input from the CLECs regarding this request. Jay indicated that he had included a modified Appendix I in the revised Redline/Greenline Document reflecting how it could be utilized for providing estimated release capacity upfront. There was some discussion on whether this should be addressed within CCP or deferred to the GA PSC since they were requesting a revised Redline/Greenline Document. Jay commented that only the disagreed items should be submitted to the GA-PSC staff. It was agreed that a CCP conference call would be scheduled to address this item and that it should not be referred to the GA PSC for resolution. See New Action Items. |
| | AI-80 (Redline/Greenline Issue #56) - Investigate adding the following language to Appendix D: Defects in a frozen map will be corrected based on a collaborative discussion between BST/CLECs and based on user impact (OPEN). |
| | BST proposed that high impact defects discovered in frozen maps would be corrected. Medium impact defects may be considered for correction based on user impact and collaborative discussions between BST and affected CLECs. This issue is also included in the Redline/Greenline Document. As in the case of AI-77, it was agreed that a CCP conference call would be scheduled to address this item and that it should not be referred to the GA PSC for resolution. See New Action Items. |
| | |



| | MEETING MINUTE | |
|--------------|---|--|
| Agenda Items | Discussion | |
| | AI-88 BST to revisit CR0690 to determine if it should be reclassified as a defect. (CR0690-NuVox request notification of a previous LSR in clarification or FOC status determined on the telephone numbers to be ported. This will allow the CLEC to facilitate the prevention of tn's going to the orphan list and customer double billed.) (OPEN) | |
| | Yahkiah Wilson (BST) explained that CR0690 was new functionality to be added to the LNP gateway. Cheryl Haynes (NuVox) stated that in the January meeting the BST SMEs identified that this issue was a defect in the LNP gateway because the system was allowing multiple LSRs on the same TN. Rodney Strawter (BST) explained that CR0690 addresses an out of process condition where the same provider sends multiple LSRs in for the same telephone number(s). This is usually when the situation occurs. It was mentioned in the 6-26 meeting that this happens occasionally when different providers send in LSRs. Today, due to this out of process condition, there is no functionality in place to send a notification that an LSR already exists on a particular TN. This same information was provided to NuVox in several meetings up to and including the meeting in January 2002. | |
| | Rodney indicated that BST requested that NuVox submit a change request for this type of notification through the CCP process so that it would be communicated to all CLECs and the appropriate level of priority could be established. CR0690 was prioritized by the CLEC community on 5-22-02 and was ranked #11. | |
| | The functionality that will be implemented with CR0690 will include the requirements for whether the LSR is submitted by the same CLEC or a different provider. Bernadette expressed frustration regarding this issue and agreed with NuVox that CR0690 should be classified as a defect. Rodney provided the following example: An LSR is already pending. The same provider can submit another LSR not realizing they sent a previous LSR for the same TN (or another provider sends an LSR with the same TN). These out of process situations are manually handled in the LCSC as they become aware of the issue and the appropriate clarification sent back to the CLEC. | |
| | Cheryl Haynes stated that the BST response was not acceptable. BST advised NuVox that the escalation process will be the next step if they want to pursue this matter. | |



June 26, 2002 CCP Monthly Status Meeting

| | MEETING MINUTE | |
|--------------|---|--|
| Agenda Items | Discussion | |
| | AI-89 BST to investigate opening a CR to identify the TAG transformation effort as well as the Infrastructure Migration effort (CLOSED) | |
| | Dennis proposed that the Network Infrastructure initiative be a standing agenda item for the monthly CCP meetings. Change requests that are included in a release for this infrastructure initiative will be tracked accordingly within the CCP Release Management Documents. There were no objections to this proposal. | |
| | AI-93 BST to investigate examples of what severity level "Migrate by TN" and the "Parsed CSR" defects were classified (CLOSED) | |
| | Rose Kirkland (BST) reported that Migrate by TN and Parsed CSR defects were mostly Severity 3's and a few Severity 4's. | |
| | AI-94 BST to capture that there will be an "iterative session" used to review requirements and documentation with CLECs (OPEN) | |
| | Cheryl Storey (BST Change Management Team) stated that CR0841 had been submitted to reflect the Release Plan intervals that were discussed at the 5-22-02 CCP meeting. A statement was included in the CR that reflects more frequent meetings will be scheduled as needed to review the draft user requirements. Since this is a process change, Cheryl requested that the CLECs review the CR and that it be discussed at the July CCP meeting so we could move forward with balloting. Bernadette questioned the intervals. Meena Masih (BST) replied that the 5-22-02 meeting discussion included new intervals for delivering draft & final user requirements, specifications and business rules to support the replacement of Production Release Terminology in lieu of minor and major. The new intervals also provide additional time for the CLECs to review the draft user requirements, provide simultaneous delivery of the final user requirements, specifications and business rules to the CLEC community and increase the CAVE Testing Window. These changes were made based on requests from the CLECs. The 2003 Release Schedule was developed using these intervals. | |
| | AI-101 BST to provide flow of the defect validation process (OPEN) | |
| | Steve reported that the defect flow is targeted for distribution to the CLECs by 6/28/02. | |
| | AI-106 BST to investigate its testing process concerning CLEC to CLEC migration situations in its test cases (CLOSED) | |
| | Eric reported that CLEC to CLEC migration testing has been performed in the past. Tyra questioned if CLEC to CLEC migration testing is available in CAVE. Eric confirmed that this can be tested in CAVE, with the following understanding: BellSouth defines a CLEC to CLEC migration as being submitted with an LSR Activity of V or W, with the intent to migrate a customer from one OCN (CLEC) to another. BellSouth has established several OCN's for CLEC and internal quality assurance testing. These OCNs are 8002, 8003, 9999, etc. During the testing of this scenario the actual test is performed by migrating one of BST established OCN's to the CAVE OCN of 9999. (i.e., CLEC submits a CAVE LSR under the 9999 OCN, and the account they are migrating is presently built as 8002). | |



| Agenda Items | Discussion |
|--------------|--|
| | AI-108 BST to determine why the COG API defect (CR0803) was not discovered in testing prior to the implementation of Release 10.5 and reevaluate the impact level (CLOSED) |
| | CR0803 was reclassified as a High impact defect. Rose stated that this was tested in pre-production and CAVE. No problems were encountered. CR0803 was not discovered because of minor differences in parameters/settings between production and test environments. Bernadette requested that BST provide an example of the differences between production and test variables. See New Action Items. |
| | AI-110 BST to ballot using Severity 1-4 as the new levels of impact for defects in the CCP (CLOSED) |
| | Steve stated that on ballot 12, item #6, there was a typo. "High Impact" should have reflected "Severity 2". Steve asked the CLECs if a re-ballot was needed. The CLECs indicated that a re-ballot was not needed, but requested that this be noted on the results that are distributed later this week. |



June 26, 2002 CCP Monthly Status Meeting

| MEETING MINU | |
|--------------|---|
| Agenda Items | Discussion |
| | AI-111 BST to investigate documenting an additional step in the Testing Process involving the "GO/NO-GO" decision (OPEN) |
| | Dennis stated that his understanding was that there are two open issues with the GO/NO-GO process: (1) who is eligible for voting and (2) is the vote the final decision. |
| | As for the overall rewrite to document the new testing process improvements, Jay indicated that both the CLECs and BST have submitted new versions of Section 10.0 Testing for inclusion into the CCP Redline/Greenline Document and that it appears we are very close to an agreement. Jay volunteered to coordinate a CLEC meeting to compare both versions and provide feedback to BST. As in the case of AI-77 and AI-80, these areas of the Redline/Greenline are considered Open and under discussion within the CCP and are not being referred to the GA PSC for resolution. See New Action Items. |
| | It was requested that BST's position on the GO/NO-GO process be included in the minutes. BST's position is as follows: |
| | Production Release Implementation Recommendation: One week prior to the production implementation of a release that is being tested in the CAVE pre-release cycle, BellSouth will host a conference call with the CLEC community to discuss the status of testing and to address any questions and/or concerns that the CLEC community may have in regards to the release. During this conference call, BellSouth will take a CLEC production implementation recommendation vote for the release. |
| | During the conference call, CLECs eligible to vote will be allowed to: • Vote to recommend implementation of the release as scheduled. (PROCEED) • Vote to recommend deferral of the release implementation to a later date. (DEFER) |
| | Only CLECs who participated in pre-release testing in the CAVE environment will be called upon to vote. If a CLEC cannot attend the conference call to cast their vote, they may e-mail their vote to the designated BellSouth representative prior to the conference call. BellSouth will confirm receipt of their vote, and count that vote in the final tally. If a CLEC opts to not participate in the voting process, that decision will be recorded but will not affect the final tally of votes that are actually cast (the majority decision will only be determined by counting votes that are submitted). |



| MEETING MIN | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| Agenda Items | Discussion | |
| | In order for a CLEC to cast a "defer" vote, they must be affected by one of the following two (2) conditions: • An un-resolved validated Severity 1 defect • An un-resolved validated Severity 2 defect (with no work-around) | |
| | BellSouth will solicit the votes verbally from the eligible CLECs during the conference call, and compile a list of the individual responses. BellSouth will tally the votes for "Proceed" vs. "Defer". The response that received the most votes will represent the collective CLEC recommendation for the release. In the event that both options receive an equal number of votes, BellSouth will treat this as a "deadlock" vote. | |
| | Once the CLEC recommendation has been determined, BellSouth will publish the recommendation in the daily testing status report that is published on the day that the vote took place. The report will include the collective decision (Proceed, Defer or Deadlock), as well as a list of those CLECs who participated in the voting process and the vote that they submitted. BellSouth will then use this recommendation, combined with the recommendations of its quality assurance testing teams and the information collected during the pre-release testing cycle to make a final decision as to whether or not the release is implemented on the targeted date. | |
| | Jay commented that the BST position did not address Severity 3 defects. Jay will include Severity 3 discussion in the CLEC meeting that he will be coordinating to compare CLEC/BST versions of Section 10.0. | |
| 3. RELEASE MANAGEMENT STATUS | Cheryl Storey reported that Release 10.6 is scheduled for 8/24/02-8/25/02. The TAG API Version 0 for Release 10.6 was posted to the web on 6/14/02. Cheryl asked if there were any questions regarding the release management documents that were provided for the meeting. There were no questions. | |
| | Brenda Slonneger (BST) provided the following schedule for ELMS6 meetings to begin reviewing the draft user requirements: • July 9, 2002 | |
| | Review Resale, Port, Loop, Number Portability and Loop w/NP | |
| | July 23, 2002 Review LSR, EU, FOC/CN and Pre-Order | |
| | July 30, 2002 Review Directory Listing | |
| | August 6, 2002 Review RPON & Hunting | |
| | August 13, 2002 Review DID & Parsed CSR | |
| | One week prior to each meeting, the draft user requirements for the upcoming session will be distributed to the CLEC community. Brenda requested that the CLECs submit any questions/concerns prior to the meetings to ensure that the issues can be addressed at the meeting. | |



| Agenda Items | MEETING MINUTES Discussion |
|---------------------------|--|
| | Mel Wagner (Birch) questioned when the 2003 Work Breakdown Schedule would be provided. Meena Masih replied that it would be provided during the week of July 1, 2002. |
| 4. INFRASTRUCTURE CHANGES | Audrey Thomas (BST) and Jill Williamson (BST) provided an overview of the BellSouth Infrastructure Transition. Audrey stated that the Infrastructure Transition is the migration of functionality from the current Encore platform to the Integrated Digital Network (IDN) platform. All products/services will move to the IDN platform. This transition will include the retirement and rearchitecture of some applications. Phase 1 will include the TAG transition and the migration of some of the products/services. Phase 1 is tentatively planned to occur over the next 18-24 months. The clock for the 18-24 month timeframe started in April/May; however, Audrey indicated that the 18-24 month timeframe would be changing. All subsequent phases are TBD. LNP will be a separate phase. Tyra questioned if the TAG Transition would complete 12/03. Audrey replied 'yes'. Audrey also mentioned that some of the TAG API retirement dates may be extended; they will not all expire 12/03. |
| | Mel Wagner questioned if the 3/03 release would be utilized for these infrastructure changes. Jill replied that this is the plan. |
| | Jill reviewed the benefits of the transition. Refer to presentation that was provided for 6/26/02 meeting. |
| | Jill reviewed the systems that will be impacted by the transition. LSR-R, LEO and LESOG will be retired. The timeframe is TBD (will be after 12/03). The CLECs questioned what system would replace LEO. Jill replied that the IDN platform will be utilized and additional databases will be introduced to store data that may have been in LEO. Jill also stated that the service order generator that exists in IDN today would replace LESOG. |
| | The CLECs questioned the impacts to EDI. Jill stated that there will be minimal or no impact to EDI. The backend portion will go through IDN instead of Encore. |
| | The CLECs questioned if testing would be available. Jill replied 'yes'. CLECs will have the opportunity to test transitioned services in CAVE, prior to production. |
| | Jill stated that the method for transition would be by REQTYP and/or Product Type and application. For example, SL1 loops may be transitioned in one release and ISDN loops in another. Jill also stated that CLEC change requests, where applicable, would be included in the transition plans. |
| | Targeted features include: |
| | Release 10.6 - TAG Transition - Thin API Release 11.0 - TAG Transition - XML Schema |
| | Mel Wagner questioned the CSOTS re-architecture. Jill stated that this is currently under design. The plan is to utilize the IDN platform and continue to support the services CSOTS does today. |
| | Additional information will be provided to the CLECs at the July CCP meeting. |



| MEETING MINUTES | | |
|--|--|--|
| Agenda Items | Discussion | |
| 5. ESCALATION PROCESS | Valerie Cottingham stated that a 4th level (Network Vice President) had been added to the Escalation Contact List on the CCP web site. Valerie requested that this information also be added to the CCP Process document. Tyra expressed concern that a 4th level would lengthen the escalation process, however Dennis stated that it was not BST's intent to lengthen the process. It was suggested that the 1st level escalation be removed for Types 2-5. It was agreed to ballot this item (changing Dennis Davis to be the 1st level contact and addition of Network Vice President as 3rd level). | |
| 6. CLARIFICATION ONLY CHANGES | Doyle Mote (BST) asked if there were any questions regarding the items listed on the clarification only changes document. Mel Wagner questioned the source that required BST to make these changes. Doyle replied that changes were made based on perusal of the document. The changes were made to make the wording clearer. | |
| | Mel questioned if BST was aware of a BAPCO related fix associated with partial migrations that was scheduled for 6/28/02. BST agreed to investigate this issue. See New Action Items. Mel also requested that BST consider including BAPCO within the scope of CCP. | |
| 7. REGULATORY ISSUES | Steve reviewed the summary of the Regulatory Mandates. There were no questions. | |
| 8. REPORT OF SYSTEM OUTAGES NOTE: Details of each outage are posted on the Change Control website at www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/mark ets/lec/ccp_live/ccp.html | The following Type 1 System outages/degradation have occurred since the 06/04/02 Status Meeting: LENS - 6 EDI - 1 TAG - 5 CSOTS - 1 EC-TA - 2 TAFI - 0 | |



June 26, 2002 CCP Monthly Status Meeting

| Agenda Items | Discussion |
|--|---|
| 9. CHANGE REQUEST LOG 10. SUMMARY OF NEW ACTION | Steve asked if there were any questions regarding the change requests listed on the CR LOG. Bernadette requested the status of the defects as a result of Release 10.5. Steve provided the following status: CR0800 - Scheduled for Release 10.6 - Low Impact CR0801 - TBD - Low Impact CR0802 - Corrected 6/5/02 - High Impact CR0803 - Corrected 6/5/02 - Medium Impact CR0804 - Corrected 6/9/02 - High Impact CR0805 - Corrected 6/5/02 - Medium Impact CR0806 - Corrected 6/5/02 - Medium Impact CR0807 - Corrected 6/6/02 - High Impact CR0808 - Corrected 6/8/02 - High Impact CR0811 - Corrected 6/8/02 - High Impact CR0812 - Corrected 6/8/02 - High Impact CR0812 - Corrected 6/8/02 - High Impact CR0812 - Corrected 6/8/02 - High Impact CR0821 - Corrected 6/10/02 - Medium Impact CR0822 - Corrected 6/10/02 - Medium Impact CR0823 - Scheduled for Release 10.6 - Low Impact CR0823 - Scheduled for Release 10.6 - Low Impact CR0831 - Corrected 6/16/02 - High Impact CR0832 - TBD - Medium Impact CR0836 - TBD - Medium Impact The status of CR0826 was requested. Tami Swenson (Accenture) stated that they do not agree with the BST response. Steve advised that BST was incorrect in the response given because ACT of S is supported for REQTYP M. The business rule was removed from the BBR-LO in error and was reflected in CR0615 as a documentation defect. The business rules will be added back to |
| | the BBR-LO in the 08/26/02 update of the BBR-LO. BellSouth has not discontinued processing the orders. It's business as usual. CR0826 will be updated to reflect this information. The following new actions items were captured from the 06/26/02 Monthly |
| ITEMS | Status Meeting and are also documented on the attached Action Item Log: |
| | NEW ACTION ITEM: BellSouth to reflect the ballot # in the Action Item Log (AI-19) where the following language was approved: In the event correction of a defect may potentially cause the CLECs to perform coding or business rule changes, BST will provide notification and appropriate documentation with the release notification. |
| | NEW ACTION ITEM: BellSouth to investigate if the operational reports of PMAP should be included within the scope of CCP. |
| | NEW ACTION ITEM: BellSouth to schedule a conference call to address the following open items in the Redline/Greenline document: Appendix I for providing estimated release capacity and Appendix D. |
| | NEW ACTION ITEM: BellSouth to provide an example of the differences between production and test variables. Associated with AI-108 on why the COG API defect (CR0803) was not discovered in testing prior to Release 10.5. |



| Agenda Items | Discussion |
|--------------|---|
| | NEW ACTION ITEM: Jay Bradbury (AT&T) to coordinate a CLEC meeting to compare new Section 10.0 versions from BST/CLECs. Severity 3 defects in the GO/NO GO process will also be discussed. |
| | NEW ACTION ITEM: BellSouth to include its position on the GO/NO-GO process in the 6/26/02 meeting minutes. |
| | NEW ACTION ITEM: BellSouth to contact Birch and investigate the BAPCO fix associated with partial migrations that is scheduled for 6/28/02. |

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 11th day of July, 2002, a copy of the foregoing Comments of Birch Telecom of the South, Inc. on the Joint Application By BellSouth Corporation et al. for Provision of In-Regions InterLATA Services in Alabama, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina and South Carolina was served on each of the following by United States Mail, first class postage prepaid, or hand delivery as indicated:

Hand Delivery:

Janice Myles
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Room 5-B145
Washington, DC 20554
(12 redacted copies; 2 confidential copies)

U.S. Mail:

Sean A. Lev Kellogg, Huber, Hansen, Todd & Evan, P.L.L.C. 1615 M Street, N.W., Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20036 (1 redacted copy and 1 confidential copy)

James Davis-Smith
U.S. Department of Justice
Antitrust Division
Telecommunications Task Force
1401 H Street, NW
Suite 8000
Washington, D.C. 20005
(1 redacted copy and 1 confidential copy)

John Garner Administrative Law Judge Alabama Public Service Commission P.O. Box 304260 100 N. Union Street RSA Union, Suite 836 Montgomery, AL 36104 (1 redacted copy) Deborah Eversole General Counsel Kentucky Public Service Commission P.O. Box 615 211 Sower Boulevard Frankfort, KY 40602-0615 (1 redacted copy)

Brian U. Ray
Executive Secretary
Mississippi Public Service Commission
P.O. Box 1174
Jackson MS 39215-1174
(1 redacted copy)

Robert H. Bennick, Jr. Director, Administrative Division, General Counsel North Carolina Utilities Commission 4325 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-4325 (1 redacted copy)

Gary E. Walsh
Executive Director
Public Service Commission of South Carolina
101 Executive Center Drive
Columbia, SC 29210
(1 redacted copy)

Robert N. Felgar